

Harnessing the Power of the WFIRST-Coronagraph

A Coordinated Plan for Exoplanet and Disk Science



Dr. Margaret Turnbull (SETI Institute)

David Ciardi (NExScl / Caltech) Hannah Jang-Condell (Wyoming) Stephen Kane (SFSU) Nikku Madhusudhan (Cambridge) Aki Roberge, Avi Mandell, Michael McElwain (NASA GSFC) Stuart Shaklan, Renyu Hu (JPL) Chris Stark, Laurent Pueyo, William Sparks (STScl) Philip Hinz (Arizona)

Exoplanet Data Challenge

Sergi Hildebrandt (<u>srh.jpl.caltech@gmail.com</u>) Maggie Turnbull (<u>turnbull.maggie@gmail.com</u>)



Exoplanet Data Challenge #1: Test spectral retrieval using synthetic planet spectra with instrumental noise. This exercise will help reveal model-dependent interpretations of noisy data. It is led by Sergi Hildebrandt and Maggie Turnbull. Cycle 1: 2016-17. Cycle 2: 2017-18.

Exoplanet Data Challenge #2: Test post-processing and source extraction techniques with spectral image cubes containinng only a star and planets, processed with a simple instrument model. This exercise is intended as practice to begin developing the techniques.is led by Maxime Rizzo and Aki Roberge. Cycle 1: 2017-18

Exoplanet Data Challenge #3: Add astrophysical background sources to the data cubes, processed with the project's WFIRST instrument model.

Exoplanet Data Challenge #4: Add interplanetary dust for a complete exercise in harvesting scientific results from realistic simulated data

(*) In the proposal, the Exoplanet Data Challenge (EDC) was called Community Data Challenge (CDC)



Exoplanet Data Challenge #1: Test spectral retrieval using synthetic planet spectra with instrumental noise. This exercise will help reveal model-dependent interpretations of noisy data. It is led by Sergi Hildebrandt and Maggie Turnbull.

Cycle 1: 2016-17. Simple planet atmospheres and simple WFIRST IFS instrumental models.

Cycle 2: 2017-18. More realistic atmospheres and latest available WFIRST IFS and CGI instrumental models.

The Exoplanet Data Challenge is possible thanks to a collaborative effort of many people across several institutions.



Pat Irwin JoAnn Eberhardt Ryan Garland

SPACE SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING CENTER University of Wisconsin-Madison Larry Sromovsky Pat Fry



David Ciardi Davy Kirkpatrick



Tyler Robinson



SETI INSTITUTE

Margaret Turnbull (PI)

W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Jacob Lustig-Yaeger



Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

Renyu Hu Sergi Hildebrandt Stuart Shaklan



Bijan Nemati Carlos Mendoza



Mark Marley Roxana Lupu Mikey Nayak



Maxime Rizzo Aki Roberge



Nikku Madhusudha



EDC Wiki space

ipac	My Page Recent changes Tools ~ Help								
srh.jpl.caltech	Edit page New page Print page More - Table of contents								
IPAC Staff Wiki	IPAC Staff Wiki > Exoplanet Data Challenge 2016-2017								
How - To	Exoplanet Data Challenge 2016-2017								
~ Exoplanet Data Challenge	LXOPIAIIEL DALA CHALLENGE 2010-2017								
Simulated Exoplanet Data									
Forward Modeling Exercise I	Purpose: Test spectral retrieval using simple synthetic planet spectra								
Forward Modeling Exercise II	Registration page								
Forward Modeling Exercise III	Start Date: 15 August 2016								
EDC 2016 Registrants									
References	Report Due Date: March/April 2017								
Retrieval Outputs and Units	KEY MILESTONES AND DATES								
Retrieval Exercise I	March/April 2017: completion of retrieval exercises and report to project on EDC results								
Retrieval Exercise II	23 December: first results on the retrieval exercise								
> Meeting notes	7 December: results from the forward modeling eversise								
Q&A	15 August: release of CDC to public								
Useful Links	18 July - use Sagan workshop as advertisement								
Submit Results	15 July - first draft of stuff to deliver to the community								
> People & Codes	20 June - telecon with community members								
Turnbull CGI SIT Proposal	31 May - complete reach out to community members								
> Turnbull CGI SIT (Private)	13 May - initial email contact								
	09 May - initial list of contacts agreed upon								



Exoplanet Data Challenge: Cycle 1

Methodology of the atmospheric retrieval data production and analysis:

- Data created for different signal to noise ratios: SNR=5, 10 and 20
- For different spectral resolution: R=20, 50 and 70
- Blind analysis: only planet spectra, mass and orbital phase given.
- Results for two Jupiter-like planets (super-Neptune in cycle 2)
- Data analyzed with different atmospheric models (one cloud, two clouds, one cloud and haze, two clouds and haze)

PS: SNR=10 and R=50 may now be the maximum values for WFIRST. Still results from the cases with R70 and/or SNR20 remain highly informative.



Exoplanet Data Challenge: Cycle 1 Sample of simulated data

 High Resolution spectra from Renyu Hu (JPL), resampled at lower resolution by Jake Lustig-Yaeger (UW)



 Addition of instrumental noise and binned into WFIRST resolution by Tyler Robinson (USC)



This is the product given to the EDC participants





Obtaining results for one atmospheric model is computationally expensive (order of 1 week, 64 core machine). Some times bad fits happen, and the fit needs to be resubmitted.





Mark Marley, Roxana Lupu and Mikey Nayak (NASA/AMES)







Exoplanet Data Challenge: Cycle 1



Pat Irwin, Ryan Garland and Jo Eberhardt (NEMESIS team, OXFORD UNIVERSITY)







Exoplanet Data Challenge: Cycle 1 Summary

- Very valuable information for **WFIRST.**
- Really helpful comparison among different retrieval teams: absorption line tables, numerical simplifications, integration methods, simplified retrieval model versus forward model.
- If the planet mass is known, R70 has enough information for 2 clouds to be detected with reasonable results (Jupiter-like planet around a Sun-like star at 6.7 AU and 28.2 pc).
- Even if the planet mass is known, **R20** is complicated for more information than just a haze, but not a cloud and a haze. Enough information for 1 cloud with reasonable results on CH₄, H₂O, NH₃ and the radius. (Jupiter-like planet around a Sun-like star at 6.7 AU and 28.2 pc).
- Jupiter-like planet around slightly brighter star than the Sun at 13.5 pc can't determine radius and mass, though CH₄ is well determined.



Exoplanet Data Challenge: Cycle 2

- **Cycle 2**: 2017-18. More realistic atmospheres and latest available WFIRST IFS and CGI instrumental models
- **Planets** to be considered:
 - 1 JUPITER-LIKE PLANET
 - 1 SUPER-NEPTUNE
- No Super Earth until the results from these two planets are obtained
- Impose expected SNR and Resolution Power from Mission specs

Example: Jupiter-like planet, with 1 M_J and 1 R_J in quadrature at 3 AU from a GOV star. Data for the planet flux produced by Larry Sromovsky (SSEC). Other parameters:

Uniformly mixed cloud from 0.1 to 0.2 bars: single-scattering albedo = 0.99, optical depth = 5, Methane vmr = 1E-3 (nCH4/nH2=1.197E-3), nHe/nH2 = 0.19585, isothermal atmosphere at T=140K, NQUAD=16 hemisphere, NAZIMUTH=16 for radiation transfer, ngauss=ntcheb=18 for disk-average integration, RESOLVING POWER = 70, number of wavelengths=170, Collision-induced Absorption assumes Equilibrium H2, Atmosphere has 57 log-spaced layers from 5E-4 to 40 bars, Surface albedo=0.0, gravity = 20 m/s^2.







Planet flux data are then processed through the WFIRST IFS simulator



Credit: Maxime Rizzo (GFSC).

PS: RDI = Reference Differential Imaging (for PSF subtraction and flux estimation)



We may add photometric points from the CGI, in addition to the IFS, measurement using some relatively small portion of the IFS integration time.





Bijan Nemati (Univ. of Alabama in Huntsville) has written the very complete WFIRST Coronagraph Yield Calculator (Excel and Matlab versions are available).

WFIRST Coronagra	ph Brightne	ss Depend	dent Erroi	rs																	
Nominal Multipliers? 1.0 should be 1.0							Photometry IFS	9.4	hrs	Photometry IFS1								RD	1		
				SPC_170714_CBEF	47 UMa c			Variance rates f	or det. noise s	ources	Mode		CG	λ, nm	<u>Δλ</u> , nm	SNR	f_pp	Mission Life	time, hrs	20%	i ti
Instrument Mode:	Photometr	ry IFS1		Target System:	9	1	Missio	planet shot	3.7E-02	e/SR/s	Photometry IFS1	SPC_1	70714_CBEF	660	118.8	13	10%	60%	240	1.32	Va
Planet Flux Ratio	6.90	ppb					60%	speckle shot	1.4E-02	e/SR/s										-	
SNR target	13.00			Critical SNR	18.76	@ this fpp		zodi shot	1.0E-02	e/SR/s	Threshold	0%	Time Margin	Threshold				240	hrs max time / p	planet	T
SNR = 1 total alloc	0.53	ppb		Critical fpp	14.4%	@ this SNR	QE	dark noise	2.2E-03	e/SR/s	Planets	- 4	No. of planet	ts above the t	time margin thresh	old		210	hrs for all plane	ts this band	T
Non-det errors	0.37	ppb		Critical pl radius	1.78	@max elong	63%	CIC noise	9.7E-04	e/SR/s	Note:							210	hrs all planets a	ll bands	T
Detector budget	0.38	ppb		Hrs to SNR	9.4	hrs		read noise	9.7E-14	e/SR/s	Narrow-band Filter Spec	w-band Filter Spectroscopy involves multiple bands each observed in direct imaging						52.4	hrs avg integ pe	r planet	
				tSNRraw	9.4	hrs		noise var rate	7.20E-02	e/SR/s											
1	Time Margin	96%		seconds to SNR	3.4E+04	sec					No. Pl. Name	Vmag	Sep (mas)	WA (λ/D)	Critical SNR	Fl Ratio, ppb	Time Margin	t (SNR), hrs	tot ph/pix/fr	SMA (AU)	
Planet Yield	CBE	0	3	6	t_alloc (hrs)		For relative compari	son only									Separatio	in relative to host st	sr (milli-arcseconds)		
	IFS 1	3	2	1	200		Many assumptions h	ave been made						(
	IFS 2	2	1	0	200		Scheduling can help	but orbital phas	es unknown												
	Assuming time ma	irgin threshold	l of:	0%			e.g. 8 yr orbit in 1st	3 yrs has 3/8 pro	bability								-				-
														Kappa_zodi	1.58E-03			Variance rates	for Disk Imaging (Case	4
	Yield for the first 2	E IFS channels	vs. SNR															ignore self sho	t noise of zodi for	now	4
	CBE	5	10	15	Mission Life									Min. Flux Ra	tio Observable			local zo	7.58E-03	e/SR/s	-
	IFS 1	11	7	3	0%									For this star,	and this setting,		7	noise var rate	3.22E-02	e/SR/s	
	IFS Z	5	3	2	0%									Scenario	Photometry IFS1		-				
farmela	Contra 1 and			Conservation	Mission Life	1	(0 to 10)	tistes bee	6440					Star vmag	5.03	mag		Concerning (01-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1		
scenario	Center A,nm	19W	K (0	Coronagraph	MISSION LITE	10K	FP type	t integ, nrs	3NR 16	Contras				Pl. Separatio	N 23L	i mas		Scenario 12 sigma Mais	Photometry IFS	t 660nm [t=24	Jints
151	220	18%	50	HLC	50%	10%	IPS	200	15	Design				PL WIK, Ang	9 4.1	i iam/D		13-sigma Nois	e Equiv. Surr. Brig	nt. (mag/asz)	
173 2	770	10/0	30	ncc	30/8	10%	173	200	15	Design				John Time	240	her	-	con (mar)	working Angle	-10	, 111
														rarid Sockla	10%	finn		172	3	19.71	+
														rand noise	165.8	Lee .	1	230	4	18.71	+
		Hours to rea	ch SNR	Vears at 12	3.0									res Speckle	1211.6			287	5	18.71	+
		47 UMa c	n exo-Zodi											tot, noise	1223.0			345	6	18.71	+
	SNR target	9.399826	1	20	50	100								NESE	2.5E+01	ph/s/m2/as2	1	402	7	18.71	+
	5	HLC IMG 1	0.8	1.8	3.2	5.6								noise equiv :	surface flux density			460	8	18.71	+
	5	HLC IMG 2	0.9	1.8	3.2	5.5								NEBD	18.7	mag/as2	1	517	9	18.71	+
	10	SPC IFS1 CBE	101	121	150	231								noise equivit	bright density					V = -10	-
	10	SPC IFS2 CBE	640	694	754	810											-				
													_								
											Photometry I	FS1									
											Alternate List of Stars	from Dar	n Sirbu		MSWC		Threshold	10%		130	hrs
											contains binaries and as	sumes a 3	AU Jupiter		On		Planets	2		24	J hr
												_									_
											No. Pl. Name	Vmag	FI Ratio ppb	WA (2,/D)	Crit. Pl. radius	k_comp	Time Margin	t (SNR), hrs	Critical SNR	Critical fpp	-
											9 47 UMa c	5.0	6.90	4.0	1.78	1.00	26.%	33839.4	18.8	1435	4-
											42 * alt Cen B	1.3	10.25	7.7	0.91	1.0	100%	301.7	26.4	20%	4
										_	43 alt Cen A	0.0	10.25	7.7	0.91	1.0	100%	108.2	26.4	20%	4
											44 * eps Eri	3.7	2.56	1.7	0.91	1.0	-100%	-5840.3	6.6	5%	+
											45 - 61 Cyg A	5.2	2.56	7.7	0.91	1.0	-100%	-20403.0	6.6	576	+
											40 01 Cyg 8	0.1	2.56	77	0.91	10	-100%	-70433.5	6.6	5%	+
											40	0.4	2.30	2.2	0.51	1.0			0.0	376	+



- I have enhanced it by adding the possibility of considering planets with an Albedo that may depend on wavelength and/or orbital phase (until now, the albedo was a constant value across the spectrum).
- The WFIRST Coronagraph Yield Calculator can handle any combination of cases for an arbitrary number of planets provided by the user and quickly return the results for the integration times, planet flux, contrast and geometric albedo.
- In the following, I will show the results for the planet considered in this presentation.



Results from the enhanced WFIRST Yield Calculator: Integration time as a function of the distance to the exoplanet and the SNR to be achieved.





Focus on a system at 10 pc (same assumption as in the IFS simulation)









Exoplanet Data Challenge: Cycle 2 Sample of simulated data to be delivered for blind analysis of atmospheric retrieval

Star GOV, Absolute Magnitude=4.83, Distance=10 pc, Planet Radius=Jupiter Radius, Planet Mass=Jupiter mass



Planet: LAS_90deg_g0p8 Exoplanet Data Challenge Sample Data Set

Thank you!



Pat Irwin JoAnn Eberhardt Ryan Garland

SPACE SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING CENTER University of Wisconsin-Madison

Larry Sromovsky Pat Fry



David Ciardi Davy Kirkpatrick



Tyler Robinson



SETI INSTITUTE

Margaret Turnbull (PI)



Jacob Lustig-Yaeger



Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

Renyu Hu Sergi Hildebrandt Stuart Shaklan



Bijan Nemati Carlos Mendoza



Mark Marley Roxana Lupu Mikey Nayak



Maxime Rizzo Aki Roberge



Nikku Madhusudha

Maggie (turnbull.maggie@gmail.com), Sergi (srh.jpl.caltech@gmail.com)



BACKUP SLIDES



WFIF	WFIRST-Coronagraph Exoplanets Data Challenge : Sheet1														
	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	I.	J	к	L			
1	System Parameters Provided to Retrieval Teams														
2	Target	HIP#	Age (Gyr)	Distance (pc)	Planet Mass (Mj or Me)	Physical separation (AU) (=semi-major axis?)	Angular separation from star (")	Planet eccentricity	Planet Phase Angle	Stellar Radius (Rsun)	Teff (K)	Bolometric Stellar Luminosity (Lsun)			
3	HD 150706 b	80902	3	28.22	2.5Mj	6.7	0.24	0.38	90	1.01	5903	1.11			
4	Ups And e	7513	3.12	13.47	1.6Mj	5.25	0.39	0.005	90	1.64	6213	3.64			
5	HD 192310 c	99825	9.3	8.91	0.08Mj	1.18	0.13	0.32	90	0.42	5080	0.42			
6	tau Ceti - disco	8102	5.8	3.65	2Me	0.72	0.20	0	90	0.83	5283	0.52			
7	tau Ceti - disco	8102	5.8	3.65	1.5Me	0.72	0.20	0	90	0.83	5283	0.52			

Cycle 1 created simulated data for:

- 5 exoplanets: 2 hot giants, 1 super-Neptune and 2 Earth-like
- Different signal-to-noise ratios: SNR=5, 10 and 20
- Different resolving powers: R=20, 50 and 70

PS: SNR=10 and R=50 may now be the maximum values for WFIRST. Still results from the cases with R70 and/or SNR20 remain highly informative.





Figure 1.1: Plan for success of the WFIRST CGI. One full SIT Cycle occurs in each of Years 1–4. Scientific performance requirements (SPRs) tasks are shown in blue boxes (Deliverable #1; Section 2), target characterization in red (Deliverable #2; Section 3), data simulation in orange (Deliverable #3; Section 4), data analysis in green (Deliverable #4; Section 5), and design reference mission (DRM) tasks in aqua (Deliverable #5; Section 6).



Exoplanet Data Challenge Next Cycle 2

Targets Task List Cycle 1

- Collect orbital parameters and RV data for known giant planet targets. [Kane]
- Use ExoCat to establish list of candidate stars for blind survey. [Turnbull]
- Assemble list of interesting protoplanetary and debris disk targets. [Jang-Condell]

Cycle 2

- Calculate occurrence rates for a range of planet types. [Kane]
- Assess background contamination for all targets. [Sparks]
- Coordinate blind survey and debris disk candidates with LBTI exozodi survey targets. [Roberge / Hinz]

Cycle 3

Construct models of protoplanetary disks including structures induced by forming planets. [Jang-Condell]



Figure 4.1: Creating a Haystacks spatial/spectral model of a whole planetary system. Each process step is described in the text. Credit: A. Roberge & the Haystacks team.

Data Simulation Task List

Cycle 1

Release Haystacks Solar System models. [Roberge]

- Make simple 1-D synthetic spectra of warm giant planets for CDC #1. [Hu]
- Construct dust-free Haystacks models of known RV systems w/out background sources. Process w/ simplified instrument model for CDC #2. [Roberge / Pueyo]

Cycle 2

Construct dust-free Haystacks models of known RV systems w/ background sources. Process w/ project instrument model for CDC #3. [Roberge / Shaklan]

- Calculate possible dust structures for known RV systems. [Stark]
- Construct complete Haystacks models for known RV systems. [Roberge]
- Construct dust-free Haystacks models of imagined planetary systems. [Roberge / Kane]

Cycle 3

Calculate dust structures for imagined systems. [Stark]

- Construct complete Haystacks models for imagined systems. [Roberge]
- Use full Haystacks and instrument models to simulate realistic datasets for CDC #4. [Shaklan / McElwain]



Community Data Challenge #2: Test post-processing and source extraction techniques with spectral image cubes containing only a star and planets, processed with a simple instrument model. This exercise is intended as practice to begin developing the techniques.

Targets Task List

Cycle 1

- Collect orbital parameters and RV data for known giant planet targets. [Kane]
- Use ExoCat to establish list of candidate stars for blind survey. [Turnbull]
- Assemble list of interesting protoplanetary and debris disk targets. [Jang-Condell]

Cycle 2

- Calculate occurrence rates for a range of planet types. [Kane]
- Assess background contamination for all targets. [Sparks]
- Coordinate blind survey and debris disk candidates with LBTI exozodi survey targets. [Roberge / Hinz]

Cycle 3

Construct models of protoplanetary disks including structures induced by forming planets. [Jang-Condell] Sergi & Maggie have put together a list of questions, suggestions and ideas for the next cycle 2:

SHARED GOOGLE DOC

- Specific set of simulated data to be delivered to the EDC participants.
- List of specific challenges that need to be resolved.
- What can realistically be done this year for a community challenge?

Data Simulation Task List Cycle 1 Release Haystacks Solar System models. [Roberge] Make simple 1-D synthetic spectra of warm giant planets for CDC #1. [Hu] Construct dust-free Haystacks models of known RV systems w/out background sources. Process w/ simplified instrument model for CDC #2. [Roberge / Pueyo] Cycle 2 Construct dust-free Haystacks models of known RV systems w/ background sources. Process w/ project instrument model for CDC #3. [Roberge / Shaklan] Calculate possible dust structures for known RV systems. [Stark] Construct complete Haystacks models for known RV systems. [Roberge] Construct dust-free Havstacks models of imagined planetary systems. [Roberge / Kane] Cvcle 3 Calculate dust structures for imagined systems. [Stark] Construct complete Haystacks models for imagined systems. [Roberge]

Use full Haystacks and instrument models to simulate realistic datasets for CDC #4. [Shaklan / McElwain]